

MAR 30 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FRANCES HARRIS, Enrolled member of
the Crow Tribe of Indians, Montana,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

EDWARD PARISIAN; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 07-35740

D.C. No. CV-06-00143-RFC

MEMORANDUM *

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Montana
Richard F. Cebull, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 18, 2009 **

Before: LEAVY, HAWKINS, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Frances Harris appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing
her action alleging deprivation of her federal constitutional rights in connection

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

with the enactment of the 2001 Crow Constitution. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Atwood v. Fort Peck Tribal Court Assiniboine*, 513 F.3d 943, 946 (9th Cir. 2007) (exhaustion of tribal remedies), *Commodity Futures Trading Comm’n v. Frankwell Bullion Ltd.*, 99 F.3d 299, 305 (9th Cir. 1996) (waiver of sovereign immunity), and we affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Harris’ claims against the tribal defendants because she failed to exhaust her tribal remedies. *See Atwood*, 513 F.3d at 948.

The district court properly dismissed Harris’ claims against Parisian in his official capacity, the only claims challenged on appeal, because they are barred by sovereign immunity. *See Hodge v. Dalton*, 107 F.3d 705, 707 (9th Cir. 1997) (explaining that the doctrine of sovereign immunity applies to federal employees acting within their official capacity, and any waiver of immunity must be “unequivocally expressed”) (citation omitted).

Harris’ remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

AFFIRMED.